True, quasi and unstable Nambu–Goldstone modes of the 2D Bose–Einstein condensed magnetoexcitons with different wave vectors

E.V.Dumanov^a, S.A.Moskalenko^a, M.A.Liberman^{b,d}, D.W.Snoke^c, S.S.Rusu^a, F.Cerbu^a

^aInstitute of Applied Physics of the Academy of Sciences of Moldova, Academic Str.5, Chisinau, MD2028, Republic of Moldova

^bDepartment of Physics, Uppsala University, Box 530, SE-75121, Uppsala, Sweden

^cDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, 3941 O'Hara Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15260,

USA

^dMoscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Inststitutskii per.9, Dolgoprudnyi, Moskovsk. obl., 141700, Russia

Abstract — The collective elementary excitations of the two-dimensional magnetoexcitons in the state of their Bose-Einstein condensation with any wave vector \vec{k} and in-plane parallel oriented motional dipole moments are investigated in the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov approximation. The breaking of the gauge symmetry is achieved using the Bogoliubov theory of quasiaverages and the Keldysh-Kozlov-Kopaev method. The starting Hamiltonian and the Green's functions are determined using the integral two-particle operators instead of the single-particle Fermi operators. The infinite chains of equations of motion for the multioperator four and six-particle Green's functions are truncated following the Zubarev method. The energy spectrum at $\vec{k} = 0$ contains only one gapless optical-plasmon-type oscillations. There are two excitontype branches corresponding to normal and abnormal Green's functions. Both modes are gapped with rotontype segments at intermediary values of the wave. The fourth branch is the acoustical plasmon-type mode with absolute instability in the region of small and intermediary values of the wave vectors. The energy spectrum at $\vec{k} = 3.6$ consists of the mixed exciton-plasmon energy braches, mixed exciton-plasmon quasienergy branches as well as the optical and acoustical plasmon energy branches. The exciton branches of the spectrum have the gaps related with the negative values of the chemical potential and attractive interaction between the two-dimensional megnetoexcitons with in-plane, parallel oriented motional dipole moments. The acoustical and optical plasmon energy branches are gapless. Their dependence on the small wave vectors accounted from the condensate wave vector \vec{k} is linear and quadratic correspondingly, with saturation in the range of high values of the wave vectors.

Index Terms — manetoexciton, plasmon, Bose-Einstein condensation, elementary excitation.

I. INTRODUCTION

A two-dimensional electron system in a strong perpendicular magnetic field reveals fascinating phenomena such as the integer and fractional quantum Hall effects. The discovery of the fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE) fundamentally changed the established concepts about charged single-particle elementary excitations in solids [1, 2].

In this paper we study a coplanar electron-hole system with electrons in a conduction band and holes in a valence band, both of which have Landau levels in a strong perpendicular magnetic field. Earlier, this system was studied in a series of papers [3-9] mostly dedicated to the theory of 2D magnetoexcitons. This system bears some resemblance to the case of a bilayer electron system with half-filled lowest Landau levels in the conduction bands of each layer [10]. The coherent states of electrons in two layers happened to be equivalent to the BEC of the quantum Hall excitons [11] formed by electrons and holes in different layers. The system we are interested in has only one layer, with electrons in conduction band and holes in the valence band of the same layer created by optical excitation or by p-n doping injection (both of these methods can be called "pumping"). In the case of a single excited layer which we consider, the density of excitons can be quite low, so that the electron Landau level and the separate hole Landau level are each only slightly occupied, and Pauli exclusion and phase space filling do not come in to play.

II. HAMILTONIAN OF THE BOSE–EINSTEIN CONDENSATION OF MAGNETOEXCITONS

The effective Hamiltonian describing the interaction of electrons and holes lying on the LLLs is

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\vec{Q}} W_{\vec{Q}} \Big[\hat{\rho}(\vec{Q}) \hat{\rho}(-\vec{Q}) - \hat{N}_e - \hat{N}_h \Big] - \mu_e \hat{N}_e - \mu_h \hat{N}_h +$$

$$\frac{1}{2} B_{i-i} \hat{N} - \frac{1}{4N} \sum_{\vec{Q}} V(Q) \hat{\rho}(\vec{Q}) \hat{\rho}(-\vec{Q}) - \frac{1}{4N} \sum_{\vec{Q}} U(Q) \hat{D}(\vec{Q}) \hat{D}(-\vec{Q}),$$
(1)

The coefficients B_{i-i} , V(Q) and U(Q) are the supplementary indirect interactions between electrons and holes that appear due to the simultaneous virtual quantum transitions of two particles from the LLLs to excited Landau levels (ELLs) and their return back during the Coulomb scattering processes and are proportional to the

small parameter $r = I_l / (\hbar \omega_c) < 1$, where $I_l \cong \sqrt{B}$ is the ionization potential of the magnetoexciton, $\hbar \omega_c \sim B$ is the cyclotron energy, and B is the magnetic field strength [9]. The degeneracy of the Landau level N equals $S / (2\pi l^2)$, where *l* is the magnetic length $l^2 = c\hbar / eB$ and S is the surface layer area. Here $\hat{\rho}(\vec{Q})$ are the density fluctuation operators expressed through the electron $\hat{\rho}_e(\vec{Q})$ and hole $\hat{\rho}_h(\vec{Q})$ density operators as follows

$$\hat{\rho}_{e}(\vec{Q}) = \sum_{t} e^{iQ_{t}tl^{2}} a_{t-\frac{Q_{t}}{2}}^{\dagger} a_{t+\frac{Q_{t}}{2}},$$

$$\hat{\rho}_{h}(\vec{Q}) = \sum_{t} e^{iQ_{t}tl^{2}} b_{t+\frac{Q_{t}}{2}}^{\dagger} b_{t-\frac{Q_{t}}{2}},$$

$$\hat{\rho}(\vec{Q}) = \hat{\rho}_{e}(\vec{Q}) - \hat{\rho}_{h}(-\vec{Q}),$$

$$\hat{D}(\vec{Q}) = \hat{\rho}_{e}(\vec{Q}) + \hat{\rho}_{h}(-\vec{Q}),$$

$$\hat{N}_{e} = \hat{\rho}_{e}(0), \ \hat{N}_{h} = \hat{\rho}_{h}(0), \ \hat{N} = \hat{N}_{e} + \hat{N}_{h},$$

$$W_{\vec{Q}} = \frac{2\pi e^{2}}{\varepsilon_{0}S |\vec{Q}|} e^{-Q^{2}l^{2}/2}.$$
(2)

The density operators are integral two-particle operators. They are expressed through the single-particle creation and annihilation operators a_p^{\dagger}, a_p for electrons and b_p^{\dagger}, b_p for holes. ε_0 is the dielectric constant of the background; μ_e and μ_h are chemical potentials for electrons and holes. Coefficients V(Q), U(Q) and B_{i-i} were deduced in [9, 12].

As discussed in previous papers [5-9, 13], the breaking of the gauge symmetry of the Hamiltonian (1) can be achieved using the Keldysh-Kozlov-Kopaev [14] method using the unitary transformation

$$\hat{T}(\sqrt{N_{ex}}) = \exp[\sqrt{N_{ex}}(d^{\dagger}(\vec{k}) - d(\vec{k}))],$$
 (3)

where $d^{\dagger}(k)$ and d(k) are the creation and annihilation

operators of the magnetoexcitons and \vec{k} is the wave vector of the condensate. In the electron-hole representation they are [5-9]:

$$d^{\dagger}(\vec{P}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{t} e^{-iP_{y}tl^{2}} a^{\dagger}_{t+\frac{P_{x}}{2}} b^{\dagger}_{t+\frac{P_{x}}{2}}, \qquad (4)$$
$$d(\vec{P}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{t} e^{iP_{y}tl^{2}} b_{-t+\frac{P_{x}}{2}} a_{t+\frac{P_{x}}{2}}$$

BEC of magnetoexcitons leads to the formation of a coherent macroscopic state as a ground state of the system with wave function

$$\left|\psi_{g}(\vec{k})\right\rangle = \hat{T}(\sqrt{N_{ex}})\left|0\right\rangle, a_{p}\left|0\right\rangle = b_{p}\left|0\right\rangle = 0.$$
(5)

Here $|0\rangle$ is the vacuum state for electrons and holes.

Though we kept arbitrary value of \vec{k} , nevertheless our main goal is the BEC with $\vec{k} = 0$ and we will consider the interval $0.5 > kl \ge 0$. The function (5) will be used to calculate the averages values of the type $\langle D(\vec{Q})D(-\vec{Q}) \rangle$. The transformed Hamiltonian (1) locks like

The transformed Hamiltonian (1) looks like

$$\hat{H} = \hat{T}\left(\sqrt{N_{ex}}\right)\hat{H}\hat{T}^{\dagger}\left(\sqrt{N_{ex}}\right),$$
(6)

and is succeeded, as usual, by the Bogoliubov u-v transformations of the single-particle Fermi operators

$$\alpha_{p} = \hat{T}\left(\sqrt{N_{ex}}\right)a_{p}\hat{T}^{\dagger}\left(\sqrt{N_{ex}}\right) = ua_{p} - v(p - \frac{k_{x}}{2})b_{k_{x}-p}^{\dagger},$$

$$\alpha_{p} \left|\psi_{g}(\vec{k})\right\rangle = 0,$$

$$\beta_{p} = \hat{T}\left(\sqrt{N_{ex}}\right)b_{p}\hat{T}^{\dagger}\left(\sqrt{N_{ex}}\right) = ub_{p} + v(\frac{k_{x}}{2} - p)a_{k_{x}-p}^{\dagger},$$

$$\beta_{p} \left|\psi_{g}(\vec{k})\right\rangle = 0.$$

$$(7)$$

Here $v(t) = ve^{-ik_ytl^2}$. The coefficients $u = \cos(\sqrt{2\pi l^2 n_{ex}})$ and $v = \sin(\sqrt{2\pi l^2 n_{ex}})$ were determined in Ref.[8]. The equality $v = \sin(v)$ can be satisfied only at v < 1.

Along with this traditional way of transforming the expressions of the starting Hamiltonian (1) and of the integral two-particle operators (2) and (4), we will use the method proposed by Bogoliubov in his theory of quasiaverages [15], remaining in the framework of the original operators. The new variant is completely equivalent to the previous one, and both of them can be used in different stages of the calculations. For example, the average values of products of two integral two-particle operators can be calculated using the wave function (5) and u-v transformations (7), whereas the equations of motion for the integral two-particle operator can be simply written in the starting representation.

The Hamiltonian (1) with the broken gauge symmetry in the lowest approximation of the theory of quasiavereges [15]. Side by side with the last term in (7) there are another smaller terms breaking the gauge symmetry, which were neglected. This approach is named as quasiaverages theory approximation [11].

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\vec{Q}} W_{\vec{Q}} \Big[\rho(\vec{Q})\rho(-\vec{Q}) - \hat{N}_e - \hat{N}_h \Big] - \mu_e \hat{N}_e - \mu_h \hat{N}_h + \frac{1}{2} B_{i-i} \hat{N} - \frac{1}{4N} \sum_{\vec{Q}} V(Q) \hat{\rho}(\vec{Q}) \hat{\rho}(-\vec{Q}) - \frac{1}{4N} \sum_{\vec{Q}} U(Q) \hat{D}(\vec{Q}) \hat{D}(-\vec{Q}) - \frac{1}{4N} \sum_{\vec{Q}} U(Q) \hat{D}(\vec{Q}) \hat{D}(-\vec{Q}) - \tilde{\eta} \sqrt{N} \Big(e^{i\varphi} d^{\dagger}(k) + e^{-i\varphi} d(k) \Big)$$

$$(8)$$

Here the parameter $\tilde{\eta}$, which determines the breaking of the gauge symmetry, depends on the chemical potential μ and on the square root of the density similar to the case of weakly non-ideal Bose-gas considered by Bogoliubov [13]. In our case the density is proportional to the filling factor $\nu = v^2$. We have:

$$\mu = \mu_e + \mu_h; \ \overline{\mu} = \mu + I_l; N_{ex} = v^2 N,$$

$$\tilde{\eta} = (\tilde{E}_{ex}(k) - \mu)v = (E(k) - \Delta(k) - \overline{\mu})v, \quad (9)$$

$$\tilde{E}_{ex}(k) = -I_l - \Delta(k) + E(k),$$

$$E(k) = 2\sum_Q W_Q \sin^2 \left(\frac{[K \times Q]_z l^2}{2}\right).$$

 $\Delta(k) \sim r$ determines the shift of the ionization potential I_1 [9].

III. ENERGY SPECTRUM OF COLLECTIVE ELEMENTARY EXCITATIONS AT WAVE VECTOR K=0

The equations of motion for the integral two-particle operators with wave vectors $\vec{P} \neq 0$ in the special case of BEC of magnetoexcitons with $\vec{k} = 0$ are

$$\begin{split} &i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} d(\vec{P}) = (-\vec{\mu} + E(\vec{P}) - \Delta(\vec{P}))d(\vec{P}) + \tilde{\eta} \frac{D(\vec{P})}{\sqrt{N}} \\ &-2i\sum_{\vec{Q}} \tilde{W}(\vec{Q})sin \left(\frac{[\vec{P} \times \vec{Q}]_z l^2}{2}\right) \hat{\rho}(\vec{Q})d(\vec{P} - \vec{Q}) - \\ &-\frac{1}{N}\sum_{\vec{Q}} U(\vec{Q})cos \left(\frac{[\vec{P} \times \vec{Q}]_z l^2}{2}\right) D(\vec{Q})d(\vec{P} - \vec{Q}), \\ &i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \hat{\rho}(\vec{P}) = -i\sum_{\vec{Q}} \tilde{W}(\vec{Q})sin \left(\frac{[\vec{P} \times \vec{Q}]_z l^2}{2}\right) \times \\ &\times [\hat{\rho}(\vec{P} - \vec{Q})\hat{\rho}(\vec{Q}) + \hat{\rho}(\vec{Q})\hat{\rho}(\vec{P} - \vec{Q})] + \\ &\frac{i}{2N}\sum_{\vec{Q}} U(\vec{Q})sin \left(\frac{[\vec{P} \times \vec{Q}]_z l^2}{2}\right) \times \\ &\times \left[D(\vec{P} - \vec{Q})D(\vec{Q}) + D(\vec{Q})D(\vec{P} - \vec{Q})\right], \\ &i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \hat{D}(\vec{P}) = -i\sum_{\vec{Q}} \tilde{W}(\vec{Q})sin \left(\frac{[\vec{P} \times \vec{Q}]_z l^2}{2}\right) \times \\ &\times [\hat{\rho}(\vec{Q})\hat{D}(\vec{P} - \vec{Q}) + \hat{D}(\vec{P} - \vec{Q})\hat{\rho}(\vec{Q})] + \\ &+ \frac{i}{2N}\sum_{\vec{Q}} U(\vec{Q})sin \left(\frac{[\vec{P} \times \vec{Q}]_z l^2}{2}\right) \times \\ &\times [\hat{D}(\vec{Q})\hat{\rho}(\vec{P} - \vec{Q}) + \hat{\rho}(\vec{P} - \vec{Q})\hat{D}(\vec{Q})] + 2\tilde{\eta}\sqrt{N} \left[d(\vec{P}) - d^{\dagger}(-\vec{P})\right] \\ &\text{where } \tilde{W}(\vec{Q}) = W(\vec{Q}) - V(\vec{Q})/2N \,. \end{split}$$

Following the equations of motion (10) we have introduces four interconnected Green's functions $G_{1,i}(P,t)$ as well as their Fourier transformations T = 0 [16, 17] of the $G_{1i}(p,\omega)$ at type $G_{1i}(P,t) = \left\langle \left\langle A_i(P,t); B(P,0) \right\rangle \right\rangle$, where $A_1(P,t) = d(P,t)$, $A_2(P,t) = d^{\dagger}(-P,t), A_3(P,t) = \rho(P,t) / \sqrt{N},$ $A_{A}(P,t) = D(P,t)/\sqrt{N}$ and an arbitrary operator B(P,0) because its choice does not influence on the energy spectrum of the system. These Green's function can be named as one-operator Green's functions. At the right hand sides of the corresponding equations of motion there is a second generation of the two-operator Green's functions. A second generation of equations of motion

derived for them containing in their right hand sides the three-operator Green's functions. Following the procedure proposed by Zubarev [17] the truncation of the chains of equations of motion was made and the three-operator Green's functions were presented as a products of one-operator Green's functions $G_{i,j}(P,\omega)$ multiplied by the

were calculated using the ground state wave function (5) and the u-v transformations (7). For example, it was obtained

$$\langle D(P)D(-P)\rangle = 4u^2 v^2 N; \langle \rho(P)\rho(-P)\rangle = 0.$$
 (11)

The Zubarev procedure is equivalent to a perturbation theory with a small parameter of the type $v^2(1-v^2)$. The closed system of Dyson equations has the form

$$\sum_{j=1}^{4} G_{1i}(\vec{P},\omega) \Sigma_{ij}(\vec{P},\omega) = C_{1j}; \ j = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$
(12)

There are 16 different components of the self-energy parts $\Sigma_{jk}(\vec{P},\omega)$ forming a 4×4 matrix. Four diagonal self-energy parts are:

$$\Sigma_{11}(\vec{P},\omega) = -\Sigma_{22}^*(-\vec{P},-\omega) = \hbar\omega + i\delta + \bar{\mu} - -E(\vec{P}) + \Delta(\vec{P}) - \frac{\langle D(P)D(-P) \rangle}{N^2} \times \frac{U^2(\vec{Q})\cos^2\left(\frac{[\vec{P} \times \vec{Q}]_z l^2}{2}\right)}{\hbar\omega + i\delta + \bar{\mu} - E(\vec{P} - \vec{Q}) + \Delta(\vec{P} - \vec{Q})}$$

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{33}(\vec{P},\omega) &= \hbar\omega + i\delta - \frac{\left\langle D(\vec{P})D(-\vec{P})\right\rangle}{N^2(\hbar\omega + i\delta)} \times \\ &\times \sum_{\vec{Q}\neq\vec{P}} U(\vec{Q}) \left(U(-\vec{Q}) - U(\vec{Q}-\vec{P}) \right) \sin^2 \left(\frac{[\vec{P}\times\vec{Q}]_z l^2}{2} \right) \end{split} \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{44}(\vec{P},\omega) &= \hbar\omega + i\delta - \frac{2\left\langle D(\vec{P})D(-\vec{P})\right\rangle}{N(\hbar\omega + i\delta)} \times \\ &\times \sum_{\vec{Q}\neq\vec{P}} \tilde{W}(\vec{Q}) \left(U(\vec{Q}-\vec{P}) - U(\vec{P}) \right) \sin^2 \left(\frac{[\vec{P}\times\vec{Q}]_z l^2}{2} \right) + \\ &+ \frac{\left\langle D(\vec{P})D(-\vec{P})\right\rangle}{N^2(\hbar\omega + i\delta)} \sum_{\vec{Q}\neq\vec{P}} U(\vec{Q}) \left(U(\vec{P}) - U(-\vec{Q}) \right) \times \sin^2 \left(\frac{[\vec{P}\times\vec{Q}]_z l^2}{2} \right) \end{split}$$

They contain real and imaginary parts as follows $\Sigma_{ij}(\vec{P},\omega) = \sigma_{ij}(\vec{P},\omega) + i\Gamma(\vec{P},\omega)$. The cumbersome dispersion equation for electron-hole collective excitations is expressed in general form by the determinant equation

$$\det \left| \Sigma_{ij}(\vec{P}, \omega) \right| = 0. \tag{14}$$

Due to the structure of the self-energy parts, it separates into two independent equations. One of them concerns only the optical plasmon branch, corresponding to oscillations of the difference of electron and hole densities and has a simple form:

$$\Sigma_{33}(\vec{P},\omega) = 0.$$
 (15)

It does not include at all the chemical potential $\overline{\mu}$ and the quasiaverage constant $\tilde{\eta}$. But the average values depends also on the condensate wave function (5).

The solution of the equation (15) is

averages of the type $\langle D(P)D(-P) \rangle$. The average values

$$\left(\hbar\omega(\vec{P})\right)^2 = \frac{\left\langle D(P)D(-P)\right\rangle}{N^2} \sum_{\vec{Q}} \sin^2\left(\frac{\left[\vec{P}\times\vec{Q}\right]_z l^2}{2}\right) \times$$

$$\times U(\vec{Q})\left(U(-\vec{Q}) - U(\vec{Q}-\vec{P})\right)$$
(16)

The right hand side of this expression at small values of P has a dependence $|P|^4$ and tends to saturate at large values of P. The optical plasmon branch $\hbar\omega_{OP}(P)$ has a quadratic dispersion law in the long wavelength limit and saturation dependence in the range of short wavelengths. Its concentration dependence is of the type $\sqrt{v^2(1-v^2)}$ what coincides with the concentration dependencies for 3D and 2D plasmons. The obtained dispersion law is represented in the Fig.1.

The second equation contains the self-energy parts Σ_{11} , Σ_{22} , Σ_{44} , Σ_{14} , Σ_{41} , Σ_{24} and Σ_{42} , which include the both parameters $\overline{\mu}$ and $\tilde{\eta}$ and has the form

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{11}(\vec{P};\omega)\Sigma_{22}(\vec{P};\omega)\Sigma_{44}(\vec{P};\omega) - \\ -\Sigma_{41}(\vec{P};\omega)\Sigma_{22}(\vec{P};\omega)\Sigma_{14}(\vec{P};\omega) - \\ -\Sigma_{42}(\vec{P};\omega)\Sigma_{11}(\vec{P};\omega)\Sigma_{24}(\vec{P};\omega) = 0. \end{split}$$

$$(17)$$

The solutions of the equation (17) describe the exciton energy and quasienergy branches arising due to the normal and abnormal Green's functions as well as the acoustical plasmon branch. The ideal magnetoexciton gas can exist only in the case $v^2 = 0$, with an infinitesimal number of excitons, but without plasma at all. In this case the plasmon frequency is zero whereas the exciton of one exciton is possibly.

The acoustical plasmon branch corresponding to oscillations of the total particle density has a dispersion law completely different from the optical plasmon oscillations. It has an absolute instability beginning with small values of wave vector going on up to the considerable value $Pl \approx 2$. In this range of wave vectors, the optical plasmons have energies which do not exceed the activation energy U(0). The supplementary Hamiltonian gives rise to general attraction in Hartree approximation, characterized by the coefficients U(0). It plays the role of activation energy if one should like to overpass this attraction. The ground state of the system is unstable as regards the generation of the acoustical plasmons. It means that the system becomes a localized generator of the growing acoustical waves without their traveling through the system as in the case of convective instability.

In the case of 2D magnetoexcitons in the BEC state with small wave vector kl < 0.5 described by the Hamiltonian (10), the both initial continuous symmetries are lost. It happened due to the presence of the term $\tilde{\eta}(d^{\dagger}(\vec{k}) + d(\vec{k}))$ in the frame of the Bogoliubov theory of the quasiaverages. Nevertheless the energy of the ground state as well as the self-energy parts $\Sigma_{ij}(P, \omega)$ were calculated only in the simplest case of the condensate wave vector $\vec{k} = 0$. These expressions can be relevant also for infinitesimal values of the modulus $|\mathbf{k}|$

but with a well defined direction. In this case the symmetry of the ground state will be higher than that of the Hamiltonian (8), what coincides with the situation described by Georgi and Pais [18]. It is one possible explanation of the quasi-NG modes appearance in the case of exciton branches of the spectrum.

Another possible mechanism of the gapped modes appearance is the existence of the local gauge symmetry, the breaking of which leads to the Higgs effect [19]. The interaction of the electrons with the attached vortices gives rise to a gapped energy spectrum of the collective elementary excitations as was established in Ref.[20, 21]. The number of the NG modes in the system with many broken continuous symmetries was determined by the Nielsen and Chadha [22] theorem. It states that the number of the first-type NG modes N_I being accounted once and the number of the second type NG modes N_{II} being accounted twice equals or prevails the number of broken generators N_{BG} . It looks as follows $N_I + 2N_{II} \pm N_{BG}$. In our case the optical plasmon branch has the properties of the second-type NG modes. We have $N_I = 0$; $N_{II} = 1$ and $N_{BG} = 2$. It leads to the equality $2N_{II} = N_{BG}$. The three branches of the energy spectrum are represented together in the Fig.1. One of them is a second-type Nambu-Goldstone(NG) mode describing the optical plasmon-type excitations, the second branch is the first-type NG mode with absolute instability describing the acoustical-type excitations and the third branch is the quasi-NG mode describing the exciton-type collective elementary excitations of the system. It is a gapless true NG mode in zero order approximation on the small parameter $v^2(1-v^2)$ and become gapped in the first order approximation due to the fact that the symmetry of the ground state with small condensate wave vector \vec{k} is greater than the symmetry of the Hamiltonian.



Fig.1 Three branches of the collective elementary excitations: the exciton-type quasi-NG mode with a gap in the point pl = 0 (dash-dotted line); the second-type NG mode describing the optical plasmons(solid line) and the first-type NG mode with absolute instability (dotted line) describing the acoustical plasmons(dashed line).

IV. ENERGY SPECTRUM OF COLLECTIVE ELEMENTARY EXCITATIONS AT WAVE VECTOR K=3.6

Now we obtain solutions of the dispersion equation (17) in the case of finite magnitude of wave vectors $kl \square 3-4$, when the Bose-Einstein condensed 2D magnetoexcitons can exist in a form of metastable dielectric liquid phase or of dielectric droplets if the correlation energy is taken into account. The correlation energy will not be included in the calculations below. Therefore, the average value $\langle \hat{\rho}(\vec{Q})\hat{\rho}(-\vec{Q}) \rangle$ are determined in HFBA by the formula

$$\left\langle \hat{\rho}(\vec{Q})\hat{\rho}(-\vec{Q})\right\rangle = 4u^2 \mathrm{v}^2 N \mathrm{sin}^2 \left(\frac{[\vec{K} \times \vec{Q}]_z l^2}{2}\right).$$
 (18)

They are characterized by a coherence factor $sin^2([\vec{k} \times \vec{Q}], l^2/2)$, which vanishes in the point k = 0.

The collective elementary excitations are calculated for the conditions $kl \square 3-4$ and $v^2 \approx v_m^2$ when the ground state of the magnetoexcitons is similar to the metastable dielectric liquid phase if the correlation energy is taken into account. However, in the present consideration the collective elementary excitations, the self-energy parts and the chemical potential are determined only in the HFBA without correlation corrections.

Even in collinear geometry the diagonal self-energy parts $\Sigma_{ii}(\vec{K} + \vec{q}, \omega)$ with i = 1, 2, 3, 4 and kl = 3-4 can not be calculated analytically for arbitrary values of the relative wave vector \vec{q} . By this reason we will consider the analytical expressions for $kl \approx 3-4$ and $ql \le 1 < kl$ using series expansions of the small values ql < 1compared with $kl \approx 3-4$.

The self-energy parts $\Sigma_{11}(\vec{k} + \vec{q}, \omega)$ and $\Sigma_{22}(\vec{k} + \vec{q}, \omega)$ in collinear geometry are

$$\begin{split} & \Sigma_{11}(\vec{k}+\vec{q},\omega) = (\hbar\omega + \bar{\mu} - E(\vec{k}+\vec{q}) + i\delta) - \\ & -4\sum_{\vec{\varrho}} W_{\vec{\varrho}}^2 sin^2 \left(\frac{[(\vec{k}+\vec{q}) \times \vec{Q}]_z l^2}{2} \right) \times \\ & \times \frac{\left\langle \hat{\rho}(\vec{Q}) \hat{\rho}(-\vec{Q}) \right\rangle}{\hbar\omega + \bar{\mu} - E(\vec{k}+\vec{q}-\vec{Q}) + i\delta} = \\ & = \sigma_{11}(\vec{k}+\vec{q},\omega) + i\Gamma_{11}(\vec{k}+\vec{q},\omega); \\ & \Sigma_{22}(\vec{k}+\vec{q},\omega) = (\hbar\omega - \bar{\mu} + E(\vec{k}-\vec{q}) + i\delta) - \\ & -4\sum_{\vec{\varrho}} W_{\vec{\varrho}}^2 sin^2 \left(\frac{[(\vec{k}-\vec{q}) \times \vec{Q}]_z l^2}{2} \right) \times \\ & \times \frac{\left\langle \hat{\rho}(\vec{Q}) \hat{\rho}(-\vec{Q}) \right\rangle}{\hbar\omega - \bar{\mu} + E(\vec{k}-\vec{q}-\vec{Q}) + i\delta} = \\ & = \sigma_{22}(\vec{k}+\vec{q},\omega) + i\Gamma_{22}(\vec{k}+\vec{q},\omega); \end{split}$$
(19)

We take their series expansion on the parameter ql < 1, which is small compared with $kl \approx 3-4$. At the same time we will expand the denominator $\hbar \omega + \overline{\mu} - E(\vec{K} + \vec{q} - \vec{Q})$ in Eq. (24) taking into account that the most probable value of Ql is unity $(\overline{Ql} \approx 1)$ and ql < 1 is less than the elementary excitation wave vector $\overline{Ql} \approx 1$.

In this approximation, with ; $\Sigma^{}_{33}\,$ and $\,\Sigma^{}_{44}$, we can write

$$\frac{1}{\hbar\omega + \bar{\mu} - E(\vec{k} + \vec{q} - \vec{Q})} \approx \frac{1}{\hbar\omega + \mu - E(\vec{k} + \vec{q})} + \dots$$
(20)

Consider the remaining two diagonal self-energy parts and . Their imaginary components are equal to zero. The initial expression is

$$\Sigma_{44}(\vec{k}+\vec{q},\omega) = (\hbar\omega+i\delta) - -\frac{4}{\hbar\omega+i\delta}\sum_{\vec{Q}} W_{\vec{Q}}^2 sin^2 \left(\frac{[\vec{q}\times\vec{Q}]_z l^2}{2}\right) \left\langle \hat{\rho}(\vec{Q})\hat{\rho}(-\vec{Q}) \right\rangle^{(21)}$$

The last diagonal self-energy part in $\Sigma_{33}(\vec{k} + \vec{q}, \omega)$ has the initial form

$$\Sigma_{33}(k+\vec{q},\omega) = (\hbar\omega+i\delta) - \frac{4}{\hbar\omega+i\delta}\sum_{\vec{Q}} W_{\vec{Q}}sin^{2}\left(\frac{[\vec{q}\times\vec{Q}]_{z}l^{2}}{2}\right) \times (22)$$

$$\times \{W_{\vec{Q}}\left\langle\hat{\rho}(\vec{Q})\hat{\rho}(-\vec{Q})\right\rangle - W_{\vec{Q}-\vec{q}}\left\langle\hat{\rho}(\vec{q}-\vec{Q})\hat{\rho}(\vec{Q}-\vec{q})\right\rangle + W_{\vec{q}}\left[\left\langle\hat{\rho}(\vec{q}-\vec{Q})\hat{\rho}(\vec{Q}-\vec{q})\right\rangle - \left\langle\hat{\rho}(\vec{Q})\hat{\rho}(-\vec{Q})\right\rangle\right]\}$$

The self-energy parts in the case of Bose-Einstein condensed magnetoexcitons depend on the average value $\langle \hat{\rho}(\vec{Q})\hat{\rho}(-\vec{Q})\rangle$ (18). The expression $\langle \hat{\rho}(\vec{Q})\hat{\rho}(-\vec{Q})\rangle$ on the other hand essentially depends on the condensate wave vector \vec{k} and vanishes at the point k = 0.

The obtained results are shown in the Fig.2. There are three energy branches. One of them is a gapless branch. It describes the dispersion law of the acoustical plasmons arising in the e-h system in condition of BEC of 2D magnetoexcitons with wave vectors $y = kl \ge 3-4$. This dispersion law depends on the relative wave vector \vec{q} counted from the condensate wave vector k. The energy spectrum describes the acoustical plasmons in the reference frame moving together with the condensate. By this reason it is not affected by the group velocity of the condensate as it happened with the exciton energy spectrum described in the laboratory reference frame.

The appearance of the second gapped energy branch in addition to the zero order exciton energy branch is due to the presence of the Bose-Einstein condensate generating many-particle complexes composed of the condensed excitons and noncondensed excitons or plasmons.



Fig. 2 The energy spectrum of the mixed excitonplasmon (solid line) and acoustical plasmon (dashed lines) collective elementary excitations of the Bose-Einstein condensed 2D magnetoexcitons with

dimensionless wave vector $\vec{k} = 0$ and filling factor

$$P=0$$

V. CONCLUSIONS

The energy spectrum of the collective elementary excitations of a 2D electron-hole system in a strong perpendicular magnetic field in the state of Bose-Einstein condensation with wave vector \mathbb{N}^{1} was investigated in the framework of the Bogoliubov theory of quasiaverages. The starting Hamiltonian describing the e-h system contains not only the Coulomb interaction between the particles lying on the lowest Landau levels, but also a supplementary interaction due to their virtual quantum transitions from the LLLs to the excited Landau levels and back. This supplementary interaction generates, after the averaging on the ground state BCS-type wave function, direct Hartree-type terms with an attractive character, exchange Fock-type terms giving rise to repulsion, and similar terms arising after the Bogoliubov u-v transformation. The interplay of these three parameters gives rise to the resulting nonzero interaction between the magnetoexcitons with wave vector Pland to stability of their BEC as regards the collops.

The separated electrons and holes remaining on their Landau orbits can take part in the formation of magnetoexcitons as well as in collective plasma oscillations. Such possibilities were not taken into consideration in the theory of structureless bosons or in the case of Wannier-Mott excitons with a rigid relative electron-hole motion structure without the possibility of the intra-series excitations.

The energy spectrum of the collective elementary excitations consists of four branches. Two of them are excitonic-type branches(energy and quasienergy branches). The other two branches are the optical and acoustical plasmon branches.

We can say that results obtained in our system are similar to what was obtained in system of BEC of the quantum Hall excitons[11].

In these both models there is only one gapless Nambu-Goldstone mode between four branches of the energy spectrum. In both models the exciton branches of the spectrum are not gapless and differ from the NG modes. In our case the exciton energy and quasienergy branches corresponding to normal and abnormal Green's functions have a gaps in the point, a roton-type segments in the region of intermediary wave vectors and saturation-type behaviors at great values of .

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Prange, S.M. Girvin, The Quantum Hall Effect, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986, p. 419.
- [2] H.L. Stormer, Rev. Mod. Phys. 71, 875, (1999)
- [3] I.V. Lerner, Yu.E. Lozovik, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 78, 1167, (1980)
- [4] I.V. Lerner, Yu.E. Lozovik, J. Low Temp. Phys. 38, 333, (1980)
- [5] I.V. Lerner, Yu.E. Lozovik, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 80, 1488, (1981) [Sov. Phys. JETP 53, 763, (1981)].
- [6] A.B. Dzyubenko, Yu.E. Lozovik, Fiz. Tverd. Tela (Leningrad) 25, 1519, (1983); A.B. Dzyubenko, Yu.E. Lozovik, Fiz. Tverd. Tela (Leningrad) 26, 1540, (1984) [Sov. Phys. Solid State 25, 874, (1983); 26, 938, (1984)]; A.B. Dzyubenko, Yu.E. Lozovik, J. Phys. A 24, 415, (1991)
- [7] B. Paquet, T.M. Rice, K. Ueda, Phys. Rev. B 32, 5208, (1985)
- [8] S.A. Moskalenko, M.A. Liberman, D.W. Snoke, V. Botan, Phys. Rev. B 66, 245316, (2002)
- [9] S.A. Moskalenko, M.A. Liberman, P.I. Khadzhi, E.V. Dumanov, Ig.V. Podlesny, V. Botan, Physica E 39 (1), 137, (2007)
- [10] A.D.K. Finck, J.P. Eisenstein, L.N. Pfeiffer, K.W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 016801, (2010)
- [11] H.A. Fertig, Phys. Rev. B 40, 1087, (1989)
- [12] S.A. Moskalenko, M.A. Liberman, E.V. Dumanov, S.S. Rusu, I. Sanduleac, Mold. J. Phys. Sci. 9, 16, (2010)
- [13] S.A. Moskalenko, M.A. Liberman, E.V. Dumanov, J. Nanoelectron. Optoelec- tron. 4, 52, (2009)
- [14] L.V. Keldysh, A.N. Kozlov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 54, (1968) 978, [Sov. Phys. JETP 27, 52, (1968)].
- [15] N.N. Bogoliubov, Selected Works, vols. 1, 2, New York: Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1990.
- [16] A.A. Abrikosov, L.P. Gor'kov, I.E. Dzyaloshinskii, Methods of Quantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics, Dover, New York, 1975 444p.
- [17] D.N. Zubarev, Sov. Phys. Uspekhi Fiz. Nauk 71, 71, (1960)
- [18] H. Georgi, A. Pais, Phys. Rev. D 12, 508, (1975)
- [19] L.H. Ryder, Quantum Field Theory, Cambridge University Press, 1985.
- [20] S.C. Zhang, T.H. Hansson, S. Kivelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 82, (1989)
- [21] S.M. Girvin, A.H. MacDonald, P.M. Platzman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 581, (1985); S.M. Girvin, A.H. MacDonald, P.M. Platzman, Phys. Rev. B 33, 2481, (1986)
- [22] H.B. Nielsen, Chadha, Nucl. Phys. B 105, 445, (1976)