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#### Abstract

Restricted load powers, two-valued regulation characteristics, and interference of several loads is observed in power supply systems with limited power of voltage source. In this paper a geometrical approach is presented for interpretation of changes or "kinematics" of load regimes; the definition of load and regime parameters is grounded. Non-Euclidean geometry is a new mathematical apparatus in the electric circuit theory, adequately interprets "kinematics" of circuit, and proves the introduction and definition of the proposed concepts.
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## I. Introduction

The limitation of load powers, two-valued regulation and load characteristics are appeared in power supply systems with limited capacity voltage sources. If such a power supply contains some quantity of loads with individual voltage regulators, the interference of loads takes place [1]. Distributed, autonomous or hybrid power supply systems with solar cells, fuel elements, and storage energy modules (battery, ultra capacitor) can be examples of such systems [2].

Therefore, it is necessary to obtain relationships for the definition of the regime and regulation parameters, for example, at a possible coordinated predictive control for preset load regimes [3].

In the present paper, the main results of interpretation of changes or "kinematics" of load regimes [4] are discussed.

## II. ANALYSIS OF VOLTAGE STABILIZATION REGIMES OF LOADS

Let us present the necessary results [4]. To do this, we consider a power supply system in Fig.1. The power supply system includes two idealized voltage regulators $V R_{1}, V R_{2}$, and load resistances $R_{1}, R_{2}$. The regulators define the transformation ratios $n_{1}, n_{2}$. At the same time, the internal resistance $R_{i}$ determines the interference of the regulators on load regimes.


Fig. 1 Power supply system with two voltage regulators $V R_{1}, V R_{2}$ and

$$
\text { loads } R_{1}, R_{2}
$$

## A. Case of one load

In this case, the transformation ratio $n_{2}=0$. Let us obtain an equation describing the behavior or "kinematics" of this circuit at change of the parameter $n_{1}$. By definition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1}=U_{1} / U \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Load power

$$
P_{1}=\frac{U_{1}^{2}}{R_{1}}, P_{1}=U I_{0}=U \frac{U_{0}-U}{R_{i}}
$$

Then, $\frac{R_{i}}{R_{1}} U_{1}^{2}+U\left(U_{0}-U\right)=0$.

It now follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{R_{i}}{R_{1}} U_{1}^{2}+\left(U-\frac{U_{0}}{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{U_{0}^{2}}{4} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For different values $R_{1}$ (i.e. $R_{1}^{1}, R_{1}^{2}$ and so on), this expression represents a bunch of circles (ellipses) in Fig.2.

Let a stabilized load voltage $U_{1=}$ be given. Then, the vertical line with coordinate $U_{1=}$ intersects the bunch of circles in two points generally. At the same time, the transformation ratio or variable $n_{1}$ is resulted by a stereographic projection of circle's points from the bottom pole 0,0 on the tangent line at the upper pole [5], [6]. For example, the load $R_{1}^{1}$ corresponds to the variable $n_{1}^{1}$. For minimum value of load resistance $R_{1 \text { min }}$, the circle is tangent to the vertical line and voltage $U=0.5 U_{0}$. Using (2), we get the minimum value of the load resistance

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1 \min }=4 R_{i}\left(U_{1=}\right)^{2} / U_{0}^{2} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, the respective maximum allowable transformation ratio

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1 \max }=2 U_{1=} / U_{0} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operating area of all the circles must be above the diameters of these circles; that is, voltage $U \geq U_{0} / 2$. But, on some step of switching period at increase of the parameter $n_{1}$, a running point can pass over the diameter that is inadmissible. So, we must decrease the next values $n_{1}$ by some rule. In this sense, we come to hyperbolic geometry.

Let us consider the functional dependence $R_{1}\left(n_{1}, U_{1=}\right)$, where the voltage $U_{1=}$ is a parameter. Similarly to [4], we must validate the definition of regime and its changes; find the invariants of regime parameters.

To do this, we consider such a characteristic regime as $R_{1}=\infty$. In this case, the ellipse degenerates into the two straight lines, $U=0, U=U_{0}$.

Then, for the voltage $U=U_{0}$, the transformation ratio

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1 \infty}=U_{1=} / U_{0} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, the question arises about the range of transformation ratio as $0<n_{1}<n_{1 \infty}$. According to Fig.2, this range corresponds to the negative load value $R_{1}<0$ and expression (2) determines a hyperbola. In this case, the load
gives back energy and the voltage source $U_{0}$ consumes this energy.


Fig. 2 Stereographic projection of bunch of the ellipses $U\left(U_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ on the line $n_{1}$.

Then, it is possible to connect up the voltage source $U_{1}$ instead of the resistance $-R_{1}$. At the same time, the input resistance of this circuit must be equal to the constant value $R_{1}$ at change of the voltage value $U_{1}$. Such a condition is satisfied due to the variable value $n_{1}$; there is a loss-free resistance [7], a high-power-factor boost rectifier [8].
Taking into account (1), (2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{1}=\frac{n_{1} U_{0}}{1+\frac{R_{i}}{R_{1}} n_{1}^{2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we get the required relationships $n_{1}\left(R_{1}\right), R_{1}\left(n_{1}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1}^{2}-n_{1} \frac{U_{0}}{U_{1=}} \frac{R_{1}}{R_{i}}+\frac{R_{1}}{R_{i}}=0, \frac{R_{1}}{R_{i}}=\frac{n_{1}^{2}}{n_{1} \frac{U_{0}}{U_{1=}}-1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dependence $R_{1}\left(n_{1}\right)$ determines a hyperbola in Fig.3. We have a single-valued mapping of hyperbola points on the axis $n_{1}$. This projective transformation preserves a cross ratio
of four points [9]. Similarly to [4], [5], let us constitute the cross ratio $m_{n}^{1}$ for the points $0, n_{1}^{1}, n_{1 \infty}, n_{1 \max }$

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{n}^{1}=\left(0 n_{1}^{1} n_{1 \infty} n_{1 \max }\right)= \\
& =\frac{n_{1}^{1}-0}{n_{1}^{1}-n_{1 \max }} \div \frac{n_{1 \infty}-0}{n_{1 \infty}-n_{1 \max }} . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

The points $0, n_{1 \max }$ are base ones and point $n_{1 \infty}$ is a unit one. The point $n_{1}^{1}$ is a point of an initial or running regime.
Using (4), (5), we get

$$
m_{n}^{1}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & n_{1}^{1} & \frac{U_{1=}}{U_{0}} & 2 \frac{U_{1=}}{U_{0}} \tag{9}
\end{array}\right)=\frac{n_{1}^{1}}{n_{1 \max }-n_{1}^{1}}
$$

In this case, the value $m_{n}^{1}$ is a non-uniform coordinate of the value $n_{1}^{1}$.


Fig. 3 Hyperbola $R_{1}\left(n_{1}\right)$.
Further, the cross ratio $m_{n}^{21}$, which corresponds to a regime change $n_{1}^{1} \rightarrow n_{1}^{2}$, has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{n}^{21}=\left(0 n_{1}^{2} n_{1}^{1} n_{1 \max }\right)=\frac{n_{1}^{2}\left(n_{1}^{1}-n_{1 \max }\right)}{n_{1}^{1}\left(n_{1}^{2}-n_{1 \max }\right)} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using normalized values $\bar{n}_{1}^{2}=n_{1}^{2} / n_{1 \text { max }}, \quad \bar{n}_{1}^{1}=n_{1}^{1} / n_{1 \text { max }}$, we get regime change (10) in the view

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{n}^{21}=\left(0 \bar{n}_{1}^{2} \bar{n}_{1}^{1} 1\right)=\frac{\bar{n}_{1}^{2}\left(\bar{n}_{1}^{1}-1\right)}{\bar{n}_{1}^{1}\left(\bar{n}_{1}^{2}-1\right)} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly to [4], we can obtain the analogous expression for the change $n_{1}^{21}$ of the transformation ratio so that the following relationships are performed

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1}^{21}=\frac{m_{n}^{21}-1}{m_{n}^{21}+1}, m_{n}^{21}=\frac{n_{1}^{21}+1}{1-n_{1}^{21}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this purpose, we make substitution of variables that to use ready expressions [4]. Let us introduce the value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{n}_{1}=2 \bar{n}_{1}-1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to [4], the change $\tilde{n}_{1}^{21}$ has the form

$$
\tilde{n}_{1}^{21}=\frac{\tilde{n}_{1}^{2}-\tilde{n}_{1}^{1}}{1-\tilde{n}_{1}^{2} \tilde{n}_{1}^{1}}
$$

Using substitution of variables (13), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{n}_{1}^{21}=\frac{\bar{n}_{1}^{2}-\bar{n}_{1}^{1}}{\bar{n}_{1}^{2}+\bar{n}_{1}^{1}-2 \bar{n}_{1}^{2} \bar{n}_{1}^{1}}=n_{1}^{21} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this expression the changes of the variables are equal to among themselves, $\tilde{n}_{1}^{21}=n_{1}^{21}$.
Using (14), we obtain the subsequent value $n_{1}^{2}$ of the transformation ratio

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{n}_{1}^{2}=\frac{\bar{n}_{1}^{1}\left(1+n_{1}^{21}\right)}{1+n_{1}^{21}\left(2 \bar{n}_{1}^{1}-1\right)} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is a group transformation. Moreover, if the initial value $\bar{n}_{1}^{1}=1$, then the subsequent value $\bar{n}_{1}^{2}=1$ regardless of the value $n_{1}^{21}$. Therefore, movement of a point is realized in hyperbolic geometry [10].

Similarly to the above, let us consider the cross ratio for the load resistance $R_{1}$. The cross ratio for the initial point $R_{1}^{1}$, relatively to the base points $0, R_{1 \text { min }}$, and a unit point $R_{1}=\infty$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{R}^{1}=\left(0 R_{1}^{1} \propto R_{1 \min }\right)=\frac{n_{1}^{1}}{1-4 \frac{R_{i}}{R_{1}^{1}} \frac{\left(U_{1=}\right)^{2}}{U_{0}^{2}}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expression (16) equals the corresponding cross ratio for the conductance $Y_{1}=1 / R_{1}$ and load current $I_{1}=U_{1=} / R_{1}$.
The following equality takes place

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{R}=\left(m_{n}\right)^{2} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression leads to identical values if we use the hyperbolic metric to determine the regime value as the distance

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\operatorname{Ln} m_{R}=2 \operatorname{Ln} m_{n} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly to (10), the cross ratio $m_{R}^{21}$, which corresponds to a regime change $R_{1}^{1} \rightarrow R_{1}^{2}$, has the view

$$
m_{R}^{21}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & R_{1}^{2} R_{1}^{1} & R_{1 \text { min }} \tag{19}
\end{array}\right)=\frac{R_{1}^{2}\left(R_{1}^{1}-R_{1 \min }\right)}{R_{1}^{1}\left(R_{1}^{2}-R_{1 \min }\right)}
$$

We can introduce the change $R_{1}^{21}$ of the load resistance by the following expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{R}^{21}=\frac{1+R_{1}^{21}}{1-R_{1}^{21}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the values $\bar{R}_{1}^{2}=R_{1}^{2} / R_{1 \text { min }}, \bar{R}_{1}^{1}=R_{1}^{1} / R_{1 \text { min }}$.
Similarly to (14), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}^{21}=\frac{\bar{R}_{1}^{2}-\bar{R}_{1}^{1}}{\bar{R}_{1}^{2}+\bar{R}_{1}^{1}-2 \bar{R}_{1}^{2} \bar{R}_{1}^{1}} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, there is a strong reason to introduce the changes of the load resistance $R_{1}^{21}$ and the transformation ratio $n_{1}^{21}$ as expressions (21), (14).

The validity of such definitions for changes is confirmed by the following expression similar to initial expression (6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}^{21}=\frac{2 n_{1}^{21}}{1+\left(n_{1}^{21}\right)^{2}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (21), we obtain the subsequent value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}_{1}^{2}=\frac{\bar{R}_{1}^{1}\left(1+R_{1}^{21}\right)}{1+R_{1}^{21}\left(2 \bar{R}_{1}^{1}-1\right)} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

As well as (15), if the initial value $\bar{R}_{1}^{1}=1$, then the subsequent value $\bar{R}_{1}^{2}=1$ regardless of the value $R_{1}^{21}$.

Thus, the concrete kind of a circuit and character of regime imposes the requirements to definition of already system parameters.

Therefore, arbitrary and formal expressions for the regime parameters are excluded.

## B. Stabilization of voltage of two loads

Let us consider a circuit with two loads in Fig.1. Variation of one of loads leads to mutual change of stabilization regimes for both loads. Therefore, it is necessary to change the transformation ratios $n_{1}, n_{2}$ in coordination.

Equation (2), taking into account the second load power, becomes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{R_{i}}{R_{1}} U_{1}^{2}+\frac{R_{i}}{R_{2}} U_{2}^{2}+\left(U-\frac{U_{0}}{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{U_{0}^{2}}{4} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1}=U_{1} / U, n_{2}=U_{2} / U \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (24), (6), and (25), we get the first load voltage

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{1}=\frac{n_{1} U_{0}}{1+\frac{R_{i}}{R_{1}} n_{1}^{2}+\frac{R_{i}}{R_{2}} n_{2}^{2}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{R_{i}}{R_{1}} n_{1}^{2}+\frac{R_{i}}{R_{2}} n_{2}^{2}-\frac{n_{1} U_{0}}{U_{1}}+1=0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition (25)

$$
n_{2}=n_{1} U_{2} / U_{1}
$$

Substituting this expression in (27), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1}^{2} R_{i}\left[\frac{1}{R_{1}}+\frac{1}{R_{2}}\left(\frac{U_{2}}{U_{1}}\right)^{2}\right]-\frac{n_{1} U_{0}}{U_{1}}+1=0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression in the square brackets is the total resistance $R_{T}$ of both loads relatively to the first load. Then

$$
n_{1}^{2} \frac{R_{i}}{R_{T}}-\frac{n_{1} U_{0}}{U_{1}}+1=0
$$

This expression corresponds to (7) and the dependence $R_{T}\left(n_{1}\right)$ coincides with Fig.3. Therefore, for the given load resistance, we determine $R_{T}, n_{1}$, and $n_{2}$.

## Conclusion

Non-Euclidean geometrical interpretation of graphical charts defines the load and regime parameters, and describes the movement of an operating point along the regulation curve. Obtained expressions can be generalized for three or more loads.
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